Laboratory of Cell Signaling in Drosophila, ITQB-UNL

Supervisor(s): Pedro Domingos

Title: Analysis of the molecular mechanisms regulating ER stress induced cell death in Drosophila

Summary of the lab's research interests

The aim of our research is to understand the molecular mechanisms that regulate specification, differentiation and degeneration of the photoreceptors, the cells that sense light in the visual system, using *Drosophila* as our biological model. Our most recent work focuses on the protective role of the Unfolded Protein Response (UPR), a cellular signaling pathway activated by the presence of unfolded proteins in the Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER), against photoreceptor degeneration in a *Drosophila* model for Autossomal Dominant Retinitis Pigmentosa. We use the tools of modern genetics, cell biology and imaging to pursue the signaling mechanisms that regulate cell death/cell protection in our biological model system.

Selected Publications

- Dina S. Coelho, Fatima Cairrão, Xiaomei Zeng, Elisabete Pires, Ana V. Coelho, David Ron, Hyung Don Ryoo, Pedro M. Domingos (2013) Xbp1-Independent Ire1 Signaling Is Required for Photoreceptor Differentiation and Rhabdomere Morphogenesis in Drosophila. Cell Reports 5, 791–80. (http://www.cell.com/cell-reports/fulltext/S2211-1247(13)00569-X)
- 2) Rasheva, V.I. and **Domingos, P.M. (2009)** "Cellular responses to endoplasmic reticulum stress and apoptosis", **Apoptosis** 8, 996-1007. Review Article.
- 3) **Domingos PM**, Steller H. (2007) Pathways regulating apoptosis during patterning and development. **Curr Opin Genet Dev**. Aug;17(4):294-9. Review Article.
- 4) Ryoo HD*, **Domingos PM***, Kang MJ, Steller H. (2007) Unfolded protein response in a Drosophila model for retinal degeneration. **EMBO J.** Jan 10;26(1):242-52. *equal contribution

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Introduction and previous results

One of our lab's scientific goals is to understand the molecular mechanisms that regulate neuronal degeneration. We have begun investigating this problem, by focusing on the role of the Unfolded Protein Response (UPR) in a model of retinal degeneration in *Drosophila*. The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is the cell organelle where secretory and membrane proteins are synthesized and folded. This process requires the recruitment of ribosomes, translocation of the nascent peptides into the lumen of the ER, and a variety of post-translational modifications and folding events. When the folding capacity of the ER is impaired, the presence of unfolded/misfolded proteins in the ER causes stress to the cell ("ER stress") and activates a cellular response, the Unfolded Protein Response (UPR), to restore homeostasis in the ER [1] [2]. The UPR is mediated by signaling pathways, which sense stress in the ER and activate a variety of cellular responses, including translational attenuation, to reduce protein synthesis and prevent further

accumulation of unfolded proteins, and the transcriptional upregulation of genes encoding ER chaperones and enzymes, to increase the folding capacity of the ER. However, in situations where ER stress is severe or prolonged, or when the cellular responses induced by UPR are not sufficient to overcome the origin of ER stress, cells can undergo programmed cell death (Apoptosis). Apoptosis is a genetic determined program of cell death, which involves a variety of intracellular signaling pathways leading to the activation of members of the caspase family of cysteine proteases. Although much progress has been made in understanding the signals and mechanisms by which caspases are activated, little is known about how apoptosis is induced in the context of ER stress.

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is a major cause of human blindness. In this disease, the photoreceptor cells in the eye progressively degenerate over time. About 30% of autosomal dominant RP cases are caused by mutations in Rhodopsin, the light sensitive protein of photoreceptors. In Drosophila, equivalent mutations in *ninaE* (the gene encoding Rhodopsin 1), also cause dominant degeneration of the retina, which occurs by apoptosis and can be blocked by the baculoviral caspase inhibitor p35 [3]. In addition, most of these mutations produce misfolded forms of Rhodopsin 1, which are not properly processed and accumulate in the ER [4]. We have started to investigate the role of the UPR in the degeneration process caused by ninaE mutations. One of the mediators of UPR is IRE1, which is a transmembrane protein resident in the ER, with a luminal domain sensitive to ER stress and cytoplasmic domain that mediates downstream signaling. In mammals and C. elegans, the cytoplasmic domain of IRE1 has endonuclease activity and, in the presence of ER stress, splices the mRNA of Xbp1 to create a functional protein [5] [6] [7]. Spliced Xbp1 functions as a transcription factor that activates the expression of many target genes to increase the ER client protein processing capacity. We have cloned Drosophila Xbp1 and constructed a fusion protein of Xbp1with GFP, in which GFP is only in frame with Xbp1 upon ER stress-induced IRE1 mediated splicing. We used this reagent as a reporter for "ER stress" and activation of the UPR. We found Xbp1::GFP expression in the *ninaE* mutations that cause retinal degeneration. This result demonstrates that these mutations activate the UPR, in particular, IRE1/Xbp1 mediated signaling. Moreover, we found that a mutation in Xbp1 has a dominant effect accelerating the retinal degeneration in *ninaE* mutants.

This result demonstrates that Xbp1 has a protective role against *ninaE* induced photoreceptor degeneration [8].

Specific Aim - Analysis of the molecular mechanisms regulating ER stress induced cell death.

From our previous findings, Xbp1 has a protective role against neuronal degeneration induced by *ninaE* mutations. However, transgenic expression of the activated form of Xbp1 (Xbp1spliced) also leads to cell death and degeneration, both in the developing eye during larval

stages and in the differentiated photoreceptors of the adult organism (Figure 1). This apparent paradox led us to hypothesize that the timing of Xbp1 activation is important for the final outcome, protection or death. For example, activation of Xbp1 during brief periods could have a protective role, while the continuous activation of Xbp1 would lead to a variety of cellular responses leading to cell death and degeneration. Consistent with this idea, two reports have shown that unspliced Xbp1, although inactive as a transcription factor, functions in a negative feedback loop to downregulate Xbp1spliced [9] [10]. To test this hypothesis, we will perform experiments where Xbp1spliced will be expressed in a conditional manner using Gal80ts, a thermo- sensitive version of the Gal4 inhibitor Gal80 [11]. In these experiments, we will activate Xbp1spliced during a variety of periods of time and look for the induction of markers of cell protection or death.

Expression of Xbp1spliced in the developing eye causes a "glossy" eye phenotype. We are using this phenotype as an assay for a screen where we look for suppressor genes of the Xbp1spliced induced "glossiness". In this screen, we expect to find mutations in genes that are required for the induction of cell death downstream of Xbp1spliced. We will further characterize these mutations by testing for a possible modifier effect in the *ninaE* mutants induced degeneration and, to assay for the specificity, in ER-stress independent models of cell death.



Figure 1 – Expression of Xbp1spliced induces cell death and degeneration in the developing eye (A-B) and in the photoreceptor cells (C-D). (A) Control eye. (B) Adult eye with "glossy" phenotype caused by expression of Xbp1spliced during larval stages (GMR-Gal4 driver). (C) Control eye with intact pseudopupil, a projection image resulting from expression of GFP in the outer photoreceptors (Rh1Gal4 driver). The identity of each photoreceptor (1-6) is represented in the inset. (D) Expression of Xbp1spliced in the photoreceptors leads to degeneration, which can be observed by the degradation of the pseudopupil image

References

1. Harding, H.P., Calfon, M., Urano, F., Novoa, I., Ron, D., *Transcriptional and translational control in the Mammalian unfolded protein response*. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol., 2002. 18: p. 575-599.

2. Oyadomari, S. and M. Mori, *Roles of CHOP/GADD153 in endoplasmic reticulum stress*. Cell Death Differ, 2004. 11(4): p. 381-9.

3. Davidson, F.F., Steller, H., Blocking apoptosis prevents blindness in Drosophila retinal degeneration mutants. Nature, 1998. 391(6667): p. 587-591.

4. Colley, N.J., Cassill, J.A., Baker, E.K., Zuker, C.S., *Defective intracellular transport is the molecular basis of rhodopsin-dependent dominant retinal degeneration*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 1995. 92(7): p. 3070-3074.

5. Shen, X., Ellis, R.E., Lee, K., Liu, C.Y., Yang, K., Solomon, A., Yoshida, H., Morimoto, R., Kurnit, D.M., Mori, K., Kaufman, R.J., *Complementary signaling pathways regulate the unfolded protein response and are required for C. elegans development.* Cell, 2001. 107(7): p. 893-903.

6. Yoshida, H., Matsui, T., Yamamoto, A., Okada, T., Mori, K., XBP1 mRNA is induced by ATF6 and spliced by IRE1 in response to ER stress to produce a highly active transcription factor. Cell, 2001. 107(7): p. 881-891.

7. Calfon, M., Zeng, H., Urano, F., Till, J.H., Hubbard, S.R., Harding, H.P., Clark, S.G., Ron, D., *IRE1 couples endoplsmic reticulum load to secretory capacity by processing the XBP-1 mRNA*. Nature, 2002. 415(6867): p. 92-96.

8. Ryoo, H.D.*, Domingos, P.M.*, Kang, M.-J., Steller, H Unfolded Protein Response in a Drosophila Model for Retinal Degeneration. Embo J., 2007. 26(1): p. 242-252 (*equal contribution).

9. Lee, A.H., et al., *Proteasome inhibitors disrupt the unfolded protein response in myeloma cells*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2003. 100(17): p. 9946-51.

10. Yoshida, H., et al., *pXBP1(U)* encoded in XBP1 pre-mRNA negatively regulates unfolded protein response activator pXBP1(S) in mammalian ER stress response. J Cell Biol, 2006. 172(4): p. 565-75.

11. McGuire, S.E., et al., *Spatiotemporal rescue of memory dysfunction in Drosophila*. Science, 2003. 302(5651): p. 1765-8.