Journal of Organometallic Chemistry xxx (2014) 1-5

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Organometallic Chemistry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jorganchem

Dehydrogenative silylation of alcohols catalysed by half-sandwich iron N-heterocyclic carbene complexes

João M.S. Cardoso, Rita Lopes, Beatriz Royo*

Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica da, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Av. da República, EAN, 2780-157 Oeiras, Portugal

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 March 2014 Received in revised form 30 May 2014 Accepted 4 June 2014 Available online xxx

Keywords: Iron N-Heterocyclic carbene ligands Coupling of silanes with alcohols Silylethers

ABSTRACT

A new series of tetramethylcyclopentadienyl-functionalised N-heterocyclic carbene complexes of iron bearing different wingtips of general type (Cp^* -NHC^R)Fe(CO)I (R = ⁿBu, ⁱBu, Et, CH₂CH₂OMe, CH₂Ph) were prepared by direct reaction of Fe₃(CO)₁₂ and the corresponding imidazolium proligands. These new iron-NHC complexes have been found to be efficient catalysts for the dehydrogenative silylation of alcohols with silanes. Iron metal complexes bearing iso-butyl and *n*-butyl wingtips displayed slightly better catalytic performances than the related complexes (Cp*-NHC^R)Fe(CO)I (R = Et, CH₂CH₂OMe, CH₂Ph), affording quantitative yields of the corresponding silylethers in 8 h at 70 °C in acetonitrile.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Introduction

In recent years, significant efforts have been devoted to developing catalytic applications of iron N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) complexes [1]. The low price and high abundance of iron, along with the great popularity of N-heterocyclic carbene ligands in catalysis have motivated the growing interest in this area of research [2]. Notable examples of catalytic applications of Fe–NHCs are C–C bond formation reactions [3], polymerisation [4], C–H activation and arene borylation [5], and reduction of functional groups by hydrosilylation and hydrogen transfer processes [6–12].

Recently, we disclosed a simple synthetic pathway for the preparation of iron NHC complexes by direct reaction of the corresponding imidazolium proligands with commercially available Fe₃(CO)₁₂. This procedure allowed us to prepare Fe–NHC complexes bearing monodentate NHCs [9] and cyclopentadienyl-functionalised NHC ligands, Cp-NHC (Cp = η^5 -C₅H₄, η^5 -C₅Me₄) in high yields [7]. This advance precludes the requirement for the strong bases traditionally employed in the synthesis of similar complexes [4d,13,14].

In this work, we extended our studies to the preparation of a new series of iron tetramethylcyclopentadienyl-functionalised NHCs containing different substituents in the wingtip (R) of the imidazolium ring (Fig. 1). As part of our ongoing research into the

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jorganchem.2014.06.005 0022-328X/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. development of new catalytic applications of first-row transition metal-NHC complexes with silanes [6–9,15,16], we decided to explore the activity of the iron complexes containing the fragment " $(Cp^*-NHC^R)Fe$ " in the dehydrogenative silylation of alcohols. We found that $(Cp^*-NHC^R)Fe(CO)I$ complexes act as efficient catalysts for Si–O coupling.

Experimental

The corresponding proligands $(Cp^*-NHC^R)I$ ($R = {}^nBu$, iBu , Et, CH₂Ph, Me) were prepared according to the method reported by us [16,17]. All other reagents were used as received from the commercial suppliers without further purification. All manipulations were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques, and solvents were purified from appropriate drying agents. Deuterated solvents were degassed and stored over molecular sieves. NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker Avance III 400 MHz. Elemental analyses and Electrospray mass spectra were performed in our laboratories at ITQB.

Preparation of the imidazolium salt $(Cp^*-NHC^R)I(R = CH_2CH_2OMe)$

A procedure similar to that reported by us for the preparation of tetramethylcyclopentadienes functionalised with imidazolium salts was applied by using 1-bromo-2-methoxyethane [16].

1-Bromo-2-methoxyethane (0.80 mL, 8.5 mmol) was added to a solution of Cp*-NHC (500 mg, 1.7 mmol) in 10 mL of THF with an excess of NaI (2.5 g, 17 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 50 $^\circ$ C for 3

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +351 214469754; fax: +351 214411277. *E-mail address:* broyo@itqb.unl.pt (B. Royo).

J.M.S. Cardoso et al. / Journal of Organometallic Chemistry xxx (2014) 1-5



Fig. 1. Iron complexes bearing functionalised-cyclopentadienyl N-heterocyclic carbene ligands.

days. The suspension was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness to yield a yellow solid which was washed with diethyl ether and hexane. Yield: 600 mg (74%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) mixture of isomers: δ 10.40-9.92 (s, N=CH–N), 7.59-6.95 (m, CH_{Phenyl}, CH_{Imid}), 5.69–5.26 (m, CH_{linker}), 4.64–4.50 (m, NCH₂), 3.81–3.70 (m, CH_{20Me}), 3.35, 3.33 (s, OCH₃), 3.27–3.03 (m, CH_{2linker}), 2.78–2.33 (m, CH_{Cp}*), 1.80–1.35 (s, CH_{3Cp}*), 1.07–0.84 (s, CH_{3Cp}*). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 140.33 (N=CH–N), 136.47–119.54 (C_{Phenyl}, C_{Imid}), 70.35–64.29 (CH_{linker}), 59.05 (CH_{Cp}*), 53.85–49.11 (NCH₂, CH_{20Me}), 31.94–29.71 (CH_{2linker}), 14.67–10.90 (OCH₃, CH_{3Cp}*). MS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M–I] ⁺ calcd. for C₂₃H₃₁N₂O: 351.24; found, 350.98 [M–I]⁺.

General preparation of complexes 1–5

A mixture of the respective proligand $(Cp^*-NHC^R)I$ ($R = {}^{n}Bu$, ${}^{i}Bu$, Et, CH₂CH₂OMe, CH₂Ph) (1.38 mmol) and Fe₃(CO)₁₂ (0.46 mmol) was refluxed in toluene (15 mL) overnight. Filtration and removal of toluene under vacuum gave a green solid, which was washed with hexane to afford the corresponding iron complexes **1–5**.

Characterisation of (Cp*-NHC^{nBu})Fe(CO)I (1)

Yield of **1**: 86%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ 7.63–7.52 (m, 5H, CH_{ph}), 7.11 (s, 1H, CH_{Imid}), 6.47 (s, 1H, CH_{Imid}), 6.06 (d, *J* = 12 Hz, 1H, CHPh_{linker}), 4.23–4.17 (m, 2H, NCH_{2Bu}), 3.01–2.96 (m, 2H, CH_{2linker}), NCH_{2Bu}), 2.40 (s, 3H, CH_{3Cp*}), 1.80 (s, 3H, CH_{3Cp*}), 1.80–1.75 (m, 2H, CH_{2nBu}), 1.75 (m, 3H, CH_{3Cp*}), 1.41–139 (m, 2H, CH₃Bu), 0.95 (s, 3H, CH_{3Cp*}), 0.94 (t, *J* = 8, 3H, CH_{3nBu}). ¹³C {¹H</sup>} NMR (100 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ 226.8 (CO), 194.9 (C_{carbene-Fe}), 138.8 (C_{ipso-phenyl}), 130.0 (CH_{phenyl}), 129.9 (CH_{phenyl}), 123.0 (CH_{Imid}), 121.0 (CH_{Imid}), 104.7 (C_{Cp*}), 91.6 (C_{Cp*}), 90.3 (C_{Cp*}), 84.2 (C_{Cp*}), 81.0 (C_{Cp*}), 67.0 (CH_{Ph-linker}), 51.1 (NCH₂), 34.0 (CH_{2nBu}), 29.8 (CH_{2linker}), 20.4 (CH_{2nBu}), 14.0 (CH_{3nBu}) 13.4 (CH_{3Cp*}), 10.4 (CH_{3Cp*}), 9.6 (CH_{3Cp*}), 9.5 (CH_{3Cp*}). Selected IR data (KBr): ν (CO) 1905 vs cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd. for C₂₅H₃₁N₂OFeI (558.08): C, 53.78; H, 5.60; N, 5.02. Found: C, 53.50; H, 5.86; N, 5.23.

Characterisation of (Cp*-NHC^{iBu})Fe(CO)I (2)

Yield of **2**: 84%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ 7.64–7.54 (m, 5H, *CH*_{Phenyl}), 7.06 (s, 1H, *CH*_{Imid}), 6.48 (s, 1H, *CH*_{Imid}), 6.08 (d, *J* = 12 Hz, 1H, *CH*_{linker}), 3.97 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H, NCH₂); 3.02–2.97 (m, 2H, *CH*_{2linker}), 2.39 (s, 3H, *CH*_{3Cp*}), 2.27–2.19 (m, 1H, *CH*_{iBu}, 1.80 (s, 3H, *CH*_{3Cp*}), 1.75 (s, 3H, *CH*_{3Cp*}), 0.95 (s, 3H, *CH*_{3Cp*}), 0.94 (t, *J* = 8, 3H, *CH*_{3nBu}). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ 226.8 (*CO*), 195.2 (Fe-*C*_{carbene}), 138.8 (*C*_{ipsoPhenyl}), 130.8 (*CH*_{Phenyl}), 129.9 (*CH*_{Phenyl}), 128.8 (*CH*_{Phenyl}), 128.3 (*CH*_{Phenyl}), 127.6 (*CH*_{Phenyl}), 124.0 (*C*_{Cp*}), 81.0 (*C*_{Cp*}), 67.1 (*CH*_{linker}), 58.3 (NCH₂), 29.8 (*CH*_{linker}), 29.1 (*CH*_{iBu}), 20.0 (*CH*_{3iBu}), 19.8 (*CH*_{3iBu}), 13.4 (*CH*_{3Cp*}), 10.4 (*CH*_{3Cp*}), 9.6 (*CH*_{3Cp*}), 9.5 (*CH*_{3Cp*}). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₅H₃₁N₂OFel (558.26): C, 53.78; H, 5.60; N, 5.02. Found: C, 53.40; H, 5.50; N, 4.87. Selected IR data (KBr): v(CO) 1905 vs cm⁻¹. MS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M–1]⁺ calcd for C₂₅H₃₁N₂OFe: 431.17; found 430.96 [M–1]⁺.

Characterisation of (Cp*-NHC^{Et})Fe(CO)I (**3**)

Yield of **3**: 81%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ 7.63–7.53 (m, 5H, *CH*_{Phenyl}), 7.13 (s, 1H, *CH*_{Imid}), 6.48 (s, 1H, *CH*_{Imid}), 6.06 (d, *J* = 12 Hz, 1H, *CH*_{linker}), 4.35–4.23 (m, 2H, *CH*_{2Et}), 3.05–2.92 (m, 2H, *CH*_{2linker}), 2.40 (s, 3H, *CH*_{3Cp*}), 1.81 (s, 3H, *CH*_{3Cp*}), 1.76 (s, 3H, *CH*_{3Cp*}), 1.35 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 3H, *CH*_{3Et}). 0.96 (s, 3H, *CH*_{3Cp*}), 1.76 (f) NMR (100 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ 226.8 (CO), 194.8 (Fe-C_{carbene}), 138.8 (*C*_{iPhenyl}), 130.1 (*CH*_{Phenyl}), 130.0 (*CH*_{Phenyl}), 129.9 (*CH*_{Phenyl}), 122.3 (*CH*_{1mid}), 121.3 (*CH*_{1mid}), 104.7 (*C*_{Cp*}), 91.7 (*C*_{Cp*}), 90.4 (*C*_{Cp*}), 84.1 (*C*_{Cp*}), 81.3 (*C*_{Cp*}), 67.1 (*CH*_{linker}), 46.1 (NCH₂), 28.9 (*CH*_{2linker}), 16.9 (*CH*_{3Et}), 13.4 (*CH*_{3Cp*}), 10.4 (*CH*_{3Cp*}), 9.7 (*CH*_{3Cp*}), 9.5 (*CH*_{3Cp*}). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₃H₂₇N₂OFeI (530.05): C, 52.10; H, 5.13; N, 5.28. Found: C; 52.30H, 5.29; N, 4.91. Selected IR data (KBr): v(CO) 1904 vs cm⁻¹. MS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M–CO–I]⁺ calcd. for C₂₂H₂₇N₂Fe: 375.15; found 375.09 [M–CO–I]⁺.

Characterisation of (Cp*-NHC^{CH2CH2OMe})Fe(CO)I (**4**)

Yield of **4**: 76%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 7.64–7.56 (m, 5H, Ph), 7.05 (s, 1H, CH_{Imid}), 6.45 (s, 1H, CH_{Imid}), 6.05 (d, J = 12, 1H, CH_{Ph-linker}), 4.48–4.29 (m, 2H, NCH₂), 3.69–3.67 (m, 2H, CH_{20Me}), 3.25 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.02–2.97 (m, 2H, CH_{2linker}), 2.40 (s, 3H, CH_{3Cp*}), 1.81 (s, 3H, CH_{3Cp*}), 1.75 (s, 3H, CH_{3Cp*}), 0.97 (s, 3H, CH_{3Cp*}). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (100 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 227.0 (CO), 195.3 (C_{carbene-Fe}), 138.9 (C_{ipso-phenyl}), 130.3 (CH_{phenyl}), 129.0 (CH_{phenyl}), 127.2 (CH_{phenyl}), 124.3 (CL_{mid}), 120.9 (CH_{lmid}), 104.9 (C_{Cp*}), 92.0 (C_{Cp*}), 90.7 (C_{Cp*}), 84.3 (C_{Cp*}), 81.5 (C_{Cp*}), 72.7 (CH_{20Me}), 67.3 (CH_{linker}), 58.9 (OCH₃), 51.2 (NCH₂), 29.40 (CH_{2linker}), 13.5 (CH_{3Cp*}), 10.5 (CH_{3Cp*}), 9.8 (CH_{3Cp*}), 9.6 (CH_{3Cp*}). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₄H₂₉N₂O₂FeI (560.06): C, 51.45; H, 5.22; N, 5.00. Found: C, 51.20; H, 5.31; N, 4.97. Selected IR data (KBr): ν (CO) 1902 vs cm⁻¹. MS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M–1]⁺ calcd. for C₂₄H₂₉N₂O₂Fe: 433.16; found, 432.89 [M–1]⁺.

Characterisation of Cp*-NHC^{CH2Ph})Fe(CO)I (5)

Yield of **5**: 74%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ 7.65–7.51 (m, 5H, CH_{Phenyl}), 7.37–7.27 (m, 5H, CH_{CH2Ph}), 7.06 (s, 1H, CH_{Imid}), 6.54 (s, 1H, CH_{Imid}), 6.17 (d, *J* = 1 2 Hz, 1H, CH_{linker}), 5.51 (dd, *J* = 14 Hz, 2H, NCH₂), 3.07–2.93 (m, 2H, CH_{2linker}), 2.42 (s, 3H, CH_{3Cp*}), 1.76 (s, 3H, CH_{3Cp*}), 1.71 (s, 3H, CH_{3Cp*}), 0.86 (s, 3H, CH_{3Cp*}), 1³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ 226.6 (CO), 197.1 (Fe-C_{carbene}), 138.9 (C_{iPh}), 130.2 (CH_{Phenyl}), 120.0 (CH_{Phenyl}), 129.3 (CH_{Phenyl}), 129.0 (CH_{Phenyl}), 128.4 (CH_{Phenyl}), 122.9 (CH_{Imid}), 122.1 (CH_{Imid}), 104.8 (C_{Cp*}), 91.7 (C_{Cp*}), 90.1 (C_{Cp*}), 84.8 (C_{Cp*}), 81.7 (C_{Cp*}), 69.2 (CH_{Inker}), 54.4 (NCH₂Ph), 28.9 (CH_{2linker}), 13.5 (CH_{3Cp*}), 10.4 (CH_{3Cp*}), 9.7 (CH_{3Cp*}), 9.6 (CH_{3Cp*}). Anal. Calc. for C₂₈H₂₉N₂OFel (592.06): C, 56.78; H, 4.94; N, 4.74. Found: C, 56.47; H, 5.08; N, 4.52. Selected IR data (KBr): v(CO) 1904 vs cm⁻¹. MS (ESI-TOF): *m/z* [M–I]⁺ calcd. for C₂₈H₂₉N₂OFe: 465.16; found 464.91 [M–I]⁺.

Catalytic dehydrogenative silylation of alcohols

Acetonitrile (1 mL), (Cp*-NHC^R)Fe(CO)I (1 mol%), alcohol (3 mmol), silane (1 mmol) were charged in a vial. The vial was tightly closed under a nitrogen atmosphere, and the mixture was heated at 70 °C for 8–16 h depending on the silane and the alcohol. All volatiles were evaporated under vacuum, the residue was dissolved in CDCl₃, and Ph₂CH₂ (1 mmol) was added to the mixture as an internal standard. The ¹H NMR was measured at room temperature and the amount of the corresponding silylether produced was evaluated by the relative intensity of the signals of the product and internal standard. Isolation of the silylethers was carried out by removing all the volatiles under vacuum. The residue was diluted with hexanes (ca. 2 mL), loaded directly on to a silica gel column and chromatographed using hexane-acetone (10:1) as eluent to give the corresponding silylethers. The silylethers produced were identified by comparison of the NMR data with the reported data:

Please cite this article in press as: J.M.S. Cardoso, et al., Journal of Organometallic Chemistry (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.jorganchem.2014.06.005

J.M.S. Cardoso et al. / Journal of Organometallic Chemistry xxx (2014) 1-5



Scheme 1. Synthesis of iron complexes 1-6.

PhSi(OEt)₃, PhSi(OⁱPr)₃ [18], Ph₂Si(OEt)₂ [19], PhSiH[OCH(Me)Ph]₂ [20]. The obtained silylether Si(OEt)₄ was compared with an authenticate sample (commercially available).

Results and discussion

Compounds 1–5 were prepared by an extension of a method recently reported by us [7]. Direct reaction of the iron cluster $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ with the respective proligand $(Cp^*-NHC^R)I$ $(R = {}^{n}Bu, {}^{i}Bu,$ Et, CH₂CH₂OMe, CH₂Ph) in refluxing toluene afforded complexes 1–5 in high yields (Scheme 1). Complexes 1–5 were isolated as air stable green crystalline solids. The identity of all compounds was established by analytical and spectroscopic methods. The successful metallation is confirmed by the appearance of the characteristic carbene signal at 195 ppm (for complexes 1-4) and 197 ppm (for 5) in the ¹³C NMR, which is the region of previously reported halfsandwich Fe–NHC complexes [6,10a,10h,13,21]. The length of the alkyl substituents of the wingtip has no detectable impact on the Fe-C_{carbene} resonance frequency. Introduction of a benzyl group at the wingtip decreases the upfield shift by 2 ppm. The formation of the new complexes is further verified by the carbonyl signal at 227 ppm in the ¹³C NMR.

The infrared spectra of 1-5 display the expected strong carbonyl band in the region 1905–1902 cm⁻¹. The similar stretching band frequency for all complexes 1-5 is indicative of similar donor capacity of the N-heterocyclic carbene ligands which is not influenced by the wingtip substituents.

With these complexes in our hands, we decided to explore their catalytic activity in the dehydrogenative silylation of alcohols. Silylether formation is a fundamental process in the synthesis of functional organosilicon compounds and polymer chemistry [22]. Moreover, silylethers are widely used as protecting groups for the hydroxyl functionality in organic synthesis [23]. The catalytic dehydrogenative silylation of alcohols is a very convenient method for the preparation of silylethers from an atom economy point of view, with H₂ as the only by-product formed in the coupling reaction. Metal-catalysed dehydrogenative coupling of silanes with alcohols has been described in the literature [24]. However, to the best of our knowledge, iron-NHC complexes have not been successfully employed in this coupling reaction. Dehydrogenative coupling of thiols with hydrosilanes catalysed by CpFe(CO)Me was recently disclosed by Nakazawa [25].

The half-sandwich iron-NHC complexes 1–7 (Fig. 2) were applied as catalysts for the dehydrogenative silylation of alcohols. Their catalytic activity was initially explored using ethanol and PhSiH₃ as model substrates. The reaction was carried out in acetonitrile at 70 °C in the presence of 1 mol% of catalyst (Scheme 2). All complexes 1–7 were active catalysts in the dehydrogenative silvlation of ethanol with PhSiH₃, affording high yields (91–99%) of triethoxyphenylsilane in 16 h (Table 1, entries 1–7). Complexes 1 and **2**, bearing the ⁿBu and ⁱBu wingtips respectively, displayed slightly higher activities affording quantitative yields of the silylether in 8 h (Table 1, entries 1 and 2, respectively), while longer reaction times (12–16 h) were needed for complexes 3–6 to afford similar yields (Table 1, entries 3–6). Interestingly, the different substitution on the cyclopentadienyl ring (Cp vs Cp*) does not seem to affect the catalytic performance in the dehydrogenative silylation of alcohols (Table 1, entries 6 and 7). For all catalysts, the reaction was selective to the formation of triethoxyphenylsilane, and no other coupling products were formed in the reaction. It seems that variation of the wingtip substituents at R (Fig. 1) does not affect the catalytic performance.

We investigate the scope of the dehydrogenative silylation of alcohols, using catalyst **1**, EtOH and different silanes. In each case, reactions were performed under optimised conditions heating at 70 °C in MeCN. As shown in Table 1, phenylsilane, diphenylsilane, and triethoxysilane were all very efficient substrates when reacted with ethanol, giving high yields (85–99%) of the corresponding silylethers in 8 h (Table 1, entries 1, 8, and 9, respectively). When the reaction was performed with *tert*-butyldiphenylsilane, a 70%



Fig. 2. Iron complexes applied in the catalytic dehydrogenative silylation of alcohols with silanes.

Please cite this article in press as: J.M.S. Cardoso, et al., Journal of Organometallic Chemistry (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.jorganchem.2014.06.005

J.M.S. Cardoso et al. / Journal of Organometallic Chemistry xxx (2014) 1-5

$$3 \text{ EtOH} + \text{PhSiH}_3 \xrightarrow[70 \ ^{\circ}\text{C}, \text{ MeCN}]{} \text{PhSi(EtO)}_3 + 3 \text{ H}_2$$

Scheme 2. Catalytic dehydrogenative silylation of ethanol with phenylsilane.

Table 1

Dehydrogenative silylation of alcohols with silanes using iron complexes 1–7 as catalysts.^a

Entry	Catalyst	Silane	Alcohol	Product	Time	Yield ^b (%)
1	1	PhSiH₃	EtOH	PhSi(OEt)3	8	99 (92)
2	2	PhSiH₃	EtOH	PhSi(OEt)3	8	91 (87)
3	3	PhSiH₃	EtOH	PhSi(OEt)3	12	99 (93)
4	4	PhSiH₃	EtOH	PhSi(OEt)3	12	99 (91)
5	5	PhSiH₃	EtOH	PhSi(OEt)₃	16	95 (87)
6	6	PhSiH₃	EtOH	PhSi(OEt)₃	16	96 (87)
7	7	PhSiH ₃	EtOH	PhSi(OEt)3	16	84 (79)
8	1	Ph ₂ SiH ₂	EtOH	Ph ₂ Si(OEt) ₂	8	82 (77)
9	1	(EtO) ₃ SiH	EtOH	Si(OEt) ₄	8	98 (91)
10	1	^t BuPh ₂ SiH	EtOH	^t BuPh ₂ Si(OEt)	16	70 (58)
11	1	PhMe ₂ SiH	EtOH	-	16	0
12	1	Ph₃SiH	EtOH	-	16	0
13	1	Et₃SiH	EtOH	-	16	0
14	1	^t Bu ₂ SiH ₂	EtOH	-	16	0
15	1	PhSiH₃	ⁱ PrOH	PhSi(O ⁱ Pr) ₃	8	66 (62)
16	1	PhSiH₃	Bu ^t CH(Me)OH	PhSiH[OCH(Me)Bu ^t] ₂	16	69 (60)
17	1	PhSiH ₃	PhCH(Me)OH	$PhSiH[OCH(Me)Ph]_2$	16	71 (65)
³ Departies and ities (1 mmal) siles (1 mmal) setabut (1 mal%) is MaC						

 $^{a}\,$ Reaction conditions: EtOH (3 mmol), silane (1 mmol), catalyst (1 mol%) in MeCN at 70 $^{\circ}$ C.

 $^{\rm b}$ Yield determined by $^{\rm 1}{\rm H}$ NMR spectroscopy using ${\rm Ph}_2{\rm CH}_2$ as internal standard. Isolated yields in parentheses.

yield of the corresponding silylether was obtained (Table 1, entry 10). In all cases, the reaction led to conversion of all Si–H groups to tetra-, tri-, bis-, and mono-alkoxyl silanes. The coupling reaction did not occur when dimethylphenylsilane, triphenylsilane, trie-thylsilane and di-*tert*-butylsilane were used as substrates in their reaction with ethanol (Table 1, entries 11–14).

The dehydrogenative silylation of bulkier alcohols such as 2propanol, 3,3-dimethyl-butan-2-ol, and 1-phenylethanol in the presence of catalyst **1**, and under similar reaction conditions afforded the corresponding tri(alkoxy)silane and bis(alkoxy)silanes, respectively in moderate yields (Table 1, entries 15–17). We have also explored the catalytic performance of catalyst **1** in the reaction of phenylsilane with water. Treatment of phenylsilane with water in acetonitrile at 70 °C in the presence of catalyst **1** (1 mol%) afforded an intractable mixture of compounds; formation of the corresponding silanol was not detected.

Conclusion

A series of new (Cp*-NHC^R)Fe(CO)I complexes bearing different wingtips have been prepared and fully characterised. We have demonstrated that these half-sandwich iron-NHC complexes are efficient catalysts for the dehydrogenative coupling of alcohols with silanes. Complexes bearing the ⁿBu and ⁱBu wingtips, required shorter times (8 h) to afford quantitative yields of triethoxyphenylsilane. The different substitution on the wingtips, and the replacement of the tetramethylcyclopentadienyl ring, Cp*, by the unsubstituted Cp do not have a substantial effect on the activity of the catalysts. This is the first report of well-defined iron(II) complexes catalysing the coupling of alcohols with hydrosilanes.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from FCT Portugal, POCI 2010 and FEDER through project PTDC/QUI-QUI/110349/2009.

B.R. thanks FCT for Consolidation contract IF/00346/2013. J.M.S. Cardoso thanks FCT for a doctoral grant (SFRH/BD/66386/2009). The NMR spectrometers are part of the National NMR Facility supported by FCT (RECI/BBB-BQB/0230/2012). We wish to acknowledge M. C. Almeida for providing data from the Elemental Analyses and Mass Spectrometry Services at ITQB.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jorganchem.2014.06.005.

References

- [1] a D. Bézier, J.-B. Sortais, C. Darcel, Adv. Synth. Catal. 355 (2013) 19-33.
- (2) (a) K. Riener, S. Haslinger, A. Raba, M.P. Högerl, M. Cokoja, W.A. Herrmann, F.E. Kühn, Chem. Rev. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr4006439;
 (b) M.J. Ingleson, R.A. Layfield, Chem. Commun. 48 (2012) 3579–3589;
 (c) F. Glorius, N-Heterocyclic carbenes in transition-metal catalysis, in: Topics in Organometallic Chemistry, vol. 21, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2007;
 (d) F.E. Hahn, M.C. Jahnke, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 47 (2008) 3122–3172;
- (e) M.C. Jahnke, F.E. Hahn, Top. Organomet. Chem. 30 (2010) 95.
 (a) H.-H. Gao, C.-H. Yan, X.-P. Tao, Y. Xia, H.-M. Sun, Q. Shen, Y. Zhang, Organometallics 29 (2010) 4189–4192;
- (b) S. Meyer, C.M. Orben, S. Demeshko, S. Dechert, F. Meyer, Organometallics 30 (2011) 6692–6702
- (c) J. Wu, W. Dai, J.H. Farnaby, N. Hazari, J.J. Le Roy, V. Mereacre, M. Murugesu, A.K. Powell, M.K. Takase, Dalton Trans. 42 (2013) 7404–7413.
- [4] (a) J. Louie, R.H. Grubbs, Chem. Commun. (2000) 1479–1480;
 - (b) D.S. McGuinness, V.C. Gibson, J.W. Steed, Organometallics 23 (2004) 6288-6292;
 - (c) M.-Z. Chen, H.-M. Sun, W.-F. Li, Z.-G. Wang, Q. Shen, Y. Zhang, J. Organomet. Chem. 691 (2006) 2489–2494;
 - (d) V. Lavallo, R.H. Grubbs, Science 326 (2009) 559-562;
 - (e) L. Xiang, J. Xiao, L. Deng, Organometallics 30 (2011), 2018-2015;
 - (f) H. Gao, C. Yan, X. Tao, Y. Xia, H. Sun, Q. Shen, Y. Zhang, Organometallics 29 (2010) 4189–4192.
- [5] (a) Y. Ohki, T. Hatanaka, K. Tatsumi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (2008) 17174–17186;
- (b) T. Hatanaka, Y. Ohki, K. Tatsumi, Chem. Asian J. 5 (2010) 1657–1666.
 [6] V.V.K.M. Kandepi, J.M.S. Cardoso, E. Peris, B. Royo, Organometallics 29 (2010) 2777–2782.
- [7] J.M.S. Cardoso, B. Royo, Chem. Commun. 48 (2012) 4944–4946.
- [8] R. Lopes, J.M.S. Cardoso, L. Postigo, B. Royo, Catal. Lett. 143 (2013) 1061–1066.
- [9] S. Warratz, L. Postigo, B. Royo, Organometallics 32 (2013) 893–897.
- [10] (a) V. César, L.C. Mistral Castro, T. Dombray, J.-B. Sortais, C. Darcel, S. Labat, K. Miqueu, J.-M. Sotiropoulos, R. Brousses, N. Lugan, G. Lavigne, Organometallics 32 (2013) 4643;
 (b) H. Li, L.C. Mistral Costro, L. Zhang, T. Reisnal, V. Dorect, L.R. Sortais,
 - (b) H. Li, L.C. Mistral Castro, J. Zheng, T. Roisnel, V. Dorcet, J.-B. Sortais, C. Darcel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 52 (2013) 1–6;
 - (c) L.C. Mistral Castro, D. Bézier, J.-B. Sortais, C. Darcel, Adv. Synth. Catal. 353 (2011) 1279–1284;
 - (d) D. Bézier, F. Jiang, T. Roisnel, J.-B. Sortais, C. Darcel, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2012) 1333–1337;
 - (e) D. Bézie, G.T. Venkanna, L.C. Mistral Castro, J. Zheng, T. Roisnel, J.-B. Sortais, C. Darcel, Adv. Synth. Catal. 354 (2012) 1879–1884;
 - (f) L.C. Mistral Castro, J.-B. Sortais, C. Darcel, Chem. Commun. 48 (2012) 151–153;
 - (g) D. Bézier, G.T. Venkanna, J.-B. Sortais, C. Darcel, ChemCatChem 3 (2011) 1747–1750;
 - (h) S. Demir, Y. Gökçe, N. Kaloğlu, J.-B. Sortais, C. Darcel, İ Özdemir, Appl. Organomet. Chem. 27 (2013) 459–464;
 - (i) B. Blom, G. Tan, S. Enthaler, S. Inoue, J.D. Epping, M. Driess, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135 (2013) 18108–18120.
- (a) C. Grohmann, T. Hashimoto, R. Frohlich, Y. Ohki, K. Tatsumi, F. Glorius, Organometallics 31 (2012) 8047–8050;
 (b) T. Hashimoto, S. Urban, R. Hoshino, Y. Ohki, K. Tatsumi, F. Glorius, Or-
- ganometallics 31 (2012) 4474–4479.
- [12] (a) E. Buitrago, L. Zani, H. Adolfsson, Appl. Organomet. Chem. 25 (2011) 748–752;
- (b) E. Buitrago, F. Tinnis, H. Adolfsson, Adv. Synth. Catal. 354 (2012) 217–222.
 [13] P. Buchgraber, L. Toupet, V. Guerchais, Organometallics 22 (2003) 5144–5147.
- [14] Selected references: (a) D.S. McGuinness, V.C. Gibson, J.W. Steed, Organometallics 23 (2004) 6288–6292;
 - (b) J.A. Przyojski, H.D. Arman, Z.J. Tonzetich, Organometallics 31 (2012) 3264–3271;
 - (c) S.A. Cramer, D.M. Jenkins, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133 (2011) 19342-19345.
- [15] L. Postigo, B. Royo, Adv. Synth. Catal. 354 (2012) 2613–2618. Similar work appeared simultaneously in the literature: LP Bheeter, M. Henrion, L. Brelot,

Please cite this article in press as: J.M.S. Cardoso, et al., Journal of Organometallic Chemistry (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.jorganchem.2014.06.005

J.M.S. Cardoso et al. / Journal of Organometallic Chemistry xxx (2014) 1–5

C. Darcel, M.J. Chetcuti, J.-B. Sortais, V. Ritleng, Adv. Synth. Catal. 354(2012) 2619-2624.

- [16] L. Postigo, R. Lopes, B. Royo, Dalton Trans. 43 (2014) 853-858.
- [17] A.P. da Costa, M. Viciano, M. Sanaú, S. Merino, J. Tejeda, E. . Peris, B. . Royo, Organometallics 27 (2008) 1305–1309.
- [18] W. Sattler, G. Parkin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134 (2012) 17462-17465.
- [19] J.Y. Corey, C.S. John, M.C. Ohmsted, L.H.S. Chang, J. Organomet. Chem. 304 (1986) 93–105.
- [20] A.Y. Khalimon, S.K. Ignatov, R. Simionescu, L.G. Kuzmina, J.A.K. Howard, G.I. Nikonov, Inorg. Chem. 51 (2012) 754–756.
- [21] L. Mercs, G. Labat, A. Neels, A. Ehlers, M. Albrecht, Organometallics 25 (2006) 5648-5656.
- [22] G.W. Parshall, S.D. Itell, Homogeneous Catalysts: The Applications and Chemistry by Soluble Transition Metal Complexes, Wiley, New York, 1992.
- [23] P.J. Kocienski, Protecting Groups, Springer, Stuttgart, 1994.

[24] For recent references, see: (a) A. Krüger, M. Albrecht, Chem. Eur. J. 18 (2012) 652-658;

(b) D. Mukherjee, R.R. Thompson, A. Ellern, A.D. Sadow, ACS Catal. 1 (2011) 698-702;

(c) A. Weickgenannt, M. Mewald, T.W.T. Muesmann, M. Oestreich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 49 (2010) 223-2226;

(d) R.A. Corbin, E.A. Ison, M.M. Abu-Omar, Dalton Trans. 15 (2009) 2850-2855;

(e) H. Ito, A. Watanabe, M. Sawamura, Org. Lett. 7 (2005) 1869-1871;

- (f) P.M. Reis, B. Royo, Catal. Commun. 8 (2007) 1057–1059;
- (g) D. Gao, C. Cui, Chem. Eur. J. 19 (2013) 11143-11147;

(h) C.K. Toh, H.T. Poh, C.S. Lim, W.Y. Fan, J. Organomet. Chem. 717 (2012) 9–13.

[25] K. Fukumoto, M. Kasa, T. Oya, M. Itazaki, H. Nakazawa, Organometallics 30 (2011) 3461-3463.